


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,681	BARTEL, HARLAN J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan S Mammen	3671	

All Participants:

(1) Nathan S Mammen.

(2) Edward Brown.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Mr. Brown to obtain approval to an examiner's amendment deleting the word "said" from the abstract. Mr. Brown gave the approval.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
-----------------------------------	--